reference of Sanders does not teach or suggest this recited combination. Applicant submits that the Examiner is engaging in impermissible hindsight reconstruction of the present invention.

Applicant draws the Examiner's attention to the present disclosure which states that it is an object of the present invention to replicate some or all vehicle security alarm functions in a toy vehicle. The recited combination as now claimed in claims 2, 24 and 30 is neither taught or suggested by the cited prior art references and therefore it is respectfully requested that these claims be passed to issue.

In view of the above amendments and arguments, it is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn and that claims remaining in the present application be passed to issue.

Respectfully Submitted

Richard B. Klar

Fax 212-279-3098

Reg No. 31, 385 875 Ave of the Americas, Suite 2301 New York, NY 10001 Tel:212-279-2991